

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United Status Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/902,461	07/10/2001	Yuan-Tsong Chen	2984.1000-004	6796	
21005	7590 12/03/2002				
	07/10/2001 Yuan-Tsong Chen to 12/03/2002 BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. ROAD	EXAMINER			
530 VIRGINIA P.O. BOX 913		Yuan-Tsong Chen	MELLER, MICHAEL V		
CONCORD, N	1A 01742-9133		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654		
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/902,461	CHEN, YUAN-TSONG	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Michael V. Meller	1654	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 S	Contombor 2002		
2a)□		· ·····		
3)□		s action is non-final.		
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.	
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-22 is/are pending in the a	pplication.		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)🖾	Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-22 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
	on Papers	·		
9)□ 7	The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) 🔲 T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	niner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl			
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌 🛚	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list or	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language proveknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has been rece	ived.	
Attachment(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	errore William II	
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trac TO-326 (Rev.	- · · · ·	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 9	

Application/Control Number: 09/902,461

Art Unit: 1654

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 and 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term, "regular interval" is vague and indefinite. What is a regular interval? It could be anything. Interval to what?

In claim 22, it is unclear if the composition comprises both the enzyme and the label. When applicant added the new limitation, the claim became indefinite as to whether the label was with the enzyme in the composition or not.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 1654

Claims 1-4, 9, 10 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fuller et al. (ref. AV2) for the reasons of record and for the reasons which follow.

Applicant argues that Fuller do not teach administering the enzyme to an individual, but it is clear on page 908, right column, that they clearly teach to do it.

Applicant next argues that Fuller do not teach administration of the enzyme at a "regular interval". First of all the term is met since the enzyme is administered to cells. Treating any disease always requires a daily, or hourly treatment regime, thus the reference teaches the claimed invention.

Fuller clearly contemplates to treat glycogen storage disease type II patients with the enzyme, thus the claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-7, 11-18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Fuller et al. (ref. AV2) for the reasons of record and for the reasons which follow.

Applicant presents the same arguments as above, thus the same rebuttal is offered.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,461

Art Unit: 1654

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuller et al. (ref. AV2) for the reasons of record and for the reasons which follow.

Applicant presents the same arguments as above, thus the same rebuttal is offered.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bijvoet et al. (ref. AR2) in view of Fuller et al. (ref. AV2) for the reasons of record and for the reasons which follow.

Applicant argues that the reference does not teach administration of the enzyme to an individual but it is clear from the reference that that is not the case. Bijvoet clearly administers the enzyme to an individual, namely mice, see abstract, page 1816, etc.

Applicant also argues that Bijvoet does not teach administration at a regular interval. Applicant is directed to the above discussion of Fuller.

Lastly, applicant argues that Bijvoet teaches away from the present invention, but it is clear in Bijvoet that eventhough they may have predicted high production costs using the enzyme produced from CHO cells, they state that potentially cheaper production is only under development. Also they clearly throughout the reference use the enzyme produced in CHO cells. So, while expensive, it still is desirable.

The teachings of Fuller are of record as well as the arguments and the above discussion of Fuller.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,461

Art Unit: 1654

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 703-308-4230. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 703-306-3220. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0294 for regular communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Michael V. Meller Examiner Art Unit 1654

MVM November 25, 2002